

**Directional Derivative.**

Example. Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We first sketch the Mean Value Proposition 13.7. Assume that the first partials of  $f$  exist on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in \mathcal{O}$  and let  $r > 0$  be such that  $\mathcal{N}_r((x, y)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Then let  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h} = (x + h_1, y + h_2) \in \mathcal{N}_r((x, y))$ . Then there exist  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x + \theta_1 h_1, y + h_2) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y + \theta_2 h_2)$$

The proof follows by simply applying the Lagrange mean value theorem to a differentiable function of one variable. Indeed, write

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = [f(x + h_1, y + h_2) - f(x, y + h_2)] + [f(x, y + h_2) - f(x, y)]$$

simply apply the mean value theorem to the first difference in square brackets treated as a function of the single variable  $x$  with the second variable fixed at  $y + h_2$ . Similarly view the second difference as a difference of a function of the single variable  $y$ . QED.

The Directional Derivative is defined at  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in \mathcal{O}$  by  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x})) / t$  for any non-zero vector  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (see text p. 322). Usually we consider only  $\mathbf{p}$  as a unit vector since then the directional derivative may be interpreted as the instantaneous rate of change of  $f$  with respect to changes in distance in the plane in the direction of  $\mathbf{p}$  at the point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Therefore if  $\|\mathbf{p}\| = 1$  we may write the directional derivative instead as  $\frac{df}{ds}$  where  $s$  stands for distance in the plane. The theorem 13.8 states that if  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable ( has continuous first order partials in  $\mathcal{O}$ ) then the directional derivative exists at each point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}$  and each  $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Moreover the formula for this directional derivative is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) + p_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)$$

This follow immediately from the Mean value Proposition 13.7 with  $\mathbf{h} = t\mathbf{p}$  together with the continuity of the partial derivatives to allow that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x + \theta_1 t p_1, y + t p_2) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$  for example. We note the following restatement with  $\mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{p}$  in place of  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{p}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{p})}{t - t_0} = p_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x + t_0 p_1, y + t_0 p_2) + p_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x + t_0 p_1, y + t_0 p_2)$$

If now the continuity of the partial derivatives of  $f$  is assumed as it was to derive the directional derivative, then the Mean Value Proposition may be extended to the form of the Mean Value Theorem: For each  $\mathbf{h}$  such that the line segment from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}$  there is a number  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x + \theta h_1, y + \theta h_2) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x + \theta h_1, y + \theta h_2)$$

This follows by the previous restatement [(13.27) in text] upon defining  $\phi(t) := f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{p})$  and by applying the ordinary Lagrange Mean Value Theorem to  $\phi(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Example. An application of the Mean value Theorem is that if the function  $f$  is continuously differentiable then the function is continuous. See Theorem 13.11.

Example. Find the direction in which  $f(x, y) := x^2 + xy + 4y^2$  increases the fastest (with respect to changes in distance in the plane) at the point  $(x, y) = (1, 2)$ . What is this fastest rate of increase? We have the gradient of  $f$  is  $\nabla f(x, y) = (2x + y, x + 8y)$ . At the given point this becomes:  $\nabla f(1, 2) = (4, 17)$ . If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a unit vector in the plane then the directional derivative of  $f$  in the direction of  $\mathbf{u}$  at the given point is first equal to  $\langle \nabla f(1, 2), \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle (4, 17), (u_1, u_2) \rangle$  and second, this last expression is estimated by the Cauchy Schwarz inequality by  $\langle (4, 17), (u_1, u_2) \rangle \leq \sqrt{4^2 + 17^2} \cdot \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} = \sqrt{305} \cdot \sqrt{1}$ . Thus the fastest rate of change is  $\sqrt{305}$  and this is attained in the direction of  $\mathbf{u} = (4/\sqrt{305}, 17/\sqrt{305})$  since equality is attained in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality when the vectors are in the same direction.

Example. Problem 13.3 #11 (here for  $n = 2$ ). Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable. Define  $K := \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ . (a) Since  $K$  is closed and bounded it is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and therefore by the Extreme Value Theorem there is a point  $(x_0, y_0) \in K$  such that  $f$  attains its minimum over all  $(x, y) \in K$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . (b) Suppose in addition that if  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is any unit vector then  $\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u} \rangle > 0$ . If  $\|(x_0, y_0)\| = 1$  then we may apply this assumption to  $\mathbf{u} = (x_0, y_0)$ . Then since  $f$  is continuously differentiable everywhere we look at the directional derivative of  $f$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  in the direction of  $(-x_0, -y_0)$ . We obtain  $\frac{df}{ds} = \langle \nabla f(x_0, y_0), (-x_0, -y_0) \rangle = -\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u} \rangle < 0$ . Therefore  $f$  decreases from the point  $(x_0, y_0)$  along a direction toward the origin. This is a contradiction to the definition of  $(x_0, y_0)$  as a minimizer. Therefore any minimizer must have norm strictly less than 1.

Example. 13.3 #7. Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has first order partial derivatives and satisfies

$$f(0, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = 2, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = 3$$

Show that  $f(x, y) = 1 + 2x + 3y$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The result follows by the Mean Value Proposition 13.7. Indeed, apply this proposition with base point  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and an arbitrary displacement vector  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2)$ . Then  $f(\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{0}) = h_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\theta_1 h_1, h_2) + h_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, \theta_2 h_2) = 2h_1 + 3h_2$ . Since  $f(0, 0) = 1$ , we are done.